

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

**Crim. Action No.: 1:23-CR-54
(Judge Kleeh)**

DAVID M. ANDERSON,

Defendant.

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

On August 23, 2024, the Defendant, David M. Anderson ("Anderson"), appeared before United States Magistrate Judge Michael J. Alois and moved for permission to enter a plea of **GUILTY** to Count Four of the Superseding Indictment, charging him with False Tax Return, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1).

This Court referred Anderson's plea of guilty to the magistrate judge for the purpose of administering the allocution, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, making a finding as to whether the plea was knowingly and voluntarily entered, and recommending to this Court whether the plea should be accepted. Anderson stated that he understood that the magistrate judge is not a United States District Judge, and Anderson consented to pleading before the magistrate judge.

USA v. ANDERSON

1:23-CR-54

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

Based upon Anderson's statements during the plea hearing, and the Government's proffer establishing that an independent factual basis for the plea existed, the magistrate judge found that Anderson was competent to enter a plea, that the plea was freely and voluntarily given, that Anderson understood the charges against him and the consequences of his plea, and that a factual basis existed for the tendered plea. The magistrate judge issued a *Report and Recommendation Concerning Plea of Guilty in Felony Case* ("R&R") [ECF No. 44] finding a factual basis for the plea and recommending that this Court accept Anderson's plea of guilty to Count Four of the Superseding Indictment.

The magistrate judge **released** Anderson on the terms of the Order Setting Conditions of Release [ECF No. 10].

The magistrate judge also directed the parties to file any written objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days after service of the R&R. He further advised that failure to file objections would result in a waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based on the R&R. Neither Anderson nor the Government filed objections to the R&R.

Accordingly, this Court **ADOPTS** the magistrate judge's R&R [ECF No. 44], provisionally **ACCEPTS** Anderson's guilty plea, and

USA v. ANDERSON

1:23-CR-54

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

ADJUDGES him **GUILTY** of the crime charged in Count Four of the Superseding Indictment.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3) and U.S.S.G. § 6B1.1(c), the Court **DEFERS** acceptance of the proposed plea agreement until it has received and reviewed the presentence investigation report prepared in this matter.

Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6A1 et seq., the Court **ORDERS** the following:

1. The Probation Officer shall undertake a presentence investigation of Anderson, and prepare a presentence investigation report for the Court;

2. The Government and Anderson shall each provide their narrative descriptions of the offense to the Probation Officer by **September 18, 2024**;

3. The presentence investigation report shall be disclosed to Anderson, his counsel, and the Government on or before **October 23, 2024**; however, the Probation Officer shall not disclose any sentencing recommendations made pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(e)(3);

USA v. ANDERSON

1:23-CR-54

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

4. Written objections to the presentence investigation report, if any, shall be submitted to the opposing party and to the probation officer on or before **November 6, 2024**;

5. Responses to objections to the presentence investigation report, if any, shall be submitted to the opposing party and to the probation officer on or before **November 13, 2024**;

6. The Office of Probation shall submit the presentence investigation report with addendum to the Court on or before **December 4, 2024**; and

7. Counsel may file any written sentencing memoranda or statements and motions for departure from the Sentencing Guidelines, including the factual basis for the same, on or before **December 18, 2024**.

The Court further **ORDERS** that prior to sentencing, Anderson's counsel shall review with him the revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions adopted by this Court on November 29, 2016, pursuant to the standing order entered by Chief Judge Groh, In Re: Revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions, 3:16-MC-56. The Office of Probation and the United States are **DIRECTED** to sit at separate tables throughout the sentencing hearing.

USA v. ANDERSON

1:23-CR-54


**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

The Court will conduct the **Sentencing Hearing** for Anderson on **January 9, 2025**, at **10:00 a.m.**, at the **Clarksburg, West Virginia**, point of holding court. If counsel anticipates having multiple witnesses or an otherwise lengthy sentencing hearing, please notify the Judge's chamber staff so that an adequate amount of time can be scheduled.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record, the Office of Probation, and the United States Marshals Service.

DATED: September 11, 2024



THOMAS S. KLEE, CHIEF JUDGE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA